MACHINE DESIGNED TRADING STRATEGIES

"How the TSL Machine Designed the #1 rated Futures Truth Strategy"

> Michael L. Barna President and Founder Trading System Lab



REQUIRED DISCLAIMER

HYPOTHETICAL PERFORMANCE RESULTS HAVE MANY INHERENT LIMITATIONS, SOME OF WHICH ARE DESCRIBED BELOW. NO REPRESENTATION IS BEING MADE THAT ANY ACCOUNT WILL OR IS LIKELY TO ACHIEVE PROFITS OR LOSSES SIMILAR TO THOSE SHOWN.

IN FACT, THERE ARE FREQUENTLY SHARP DIFFERENCES BETWEEN HYPOTHETICAL PERFORMANCE RESULTS AND THE ACTUAL RESULTS ACHIEVED BY ANY PARTICULAR TRADING PROGRAM. ONE OF THE LIMITATIONS OF HYPOTHETICAL PERFORMANCE RESULTS IS THAT THEY ARE GENERALLY PREPARED WITH THE BENEFIT OF HINDSIGHT. IN ADDITION, HYPOTHETICAL TRADING DOES NOT INVOLVE FINANCIAL RISK, AND NO HYPOTHETICAL TRADING RECORD CAN COMPLETELY ACCOUNT FOR THE IMPACT OF FINANCIAL RISK IN ACTUAL TRADING. FOR EXAMPLE, THE ABILITY TO WITHSTAND LOSSES OR TO ADHERE TO A PARTICULAR TRADING PROGRAM IN SPITE OF TRADING LOSSES ARE MATERIAL POINTS WHICH CAN ALSO ADVERSELY AFFECT ACTUAL TRADING RESULTS.

THERE ARE NUMEROUS OTHER FACTORS RELATED TO THE MARKETS IN GENERAL OR TO THE IMPLEMENTATION OF ANY SPECIFIC TRADING PROGRAM WHICH CANNOT BE FULLY ACCOUNTED FOR IN THE PREPARATION OF HYPOTHETICAL PERFORMANCE RESULTS AND ALL OF WHICH CAN ADVERSELY AFFECT ACTUAL TRADING RESULTS.



Mike Barna, CTA

- Founder and President, Trading System Lab
- BS Mathematics, Arizona State University
- MS Astronautical and Aeronautical Engineering, Stanford University
- SVP Regency Stocks and Commodities Fund, LP,LLC (QEP Hedge Fund)
- R-MESA*, BIGBLUE*, MESA BONDS, MESA NOTES
- Former Defense Industry Rocket-Ramjet and Guidance Systems Engineer
- Star Wars Research and Development Management Engineer
- 2 CFTC Commodity Licenses, 12 FAA pilot certificates or ratings

*Multiple awards received



WHO IS TSL?

• Trading System Lab-US Based trading research and development company with a small team of international and domestic programmers, third party developers and testers. Founded by former Hedge Fund Manager.

www.tradingsystemlab.com

 Register Machine Learning, Inc.-US Based company with a team of international and domestic machine learning scientists, IP attorneys, statisticians and programmers. Involved in government contracts. <u>RML licenses the patented Discipulus to TSL exclusively</u> <u>http://www.rmltech.com</u>



AN EASY LANGUAGE EXAMPLE

- Moving Averages use 1 time series: Close
- Stochastics use 3 time series: H, L, C
- What Indicator uses all 5 standard time series?
- Open
- High
- Low
- Close
- Volume or Tick Volume
- We will take this indicator and adapt it with the Hilbert Dominant Cycle



Hilbert Adaptive Williams Variable Accumulation Distribution

WVAD = $\sum_{n=0}^{i=0} Volume[i] * \frac{Close[i] - Open[i]}{High[i] - Low[i]}$ n~HilbertDC

References Robert W. Colby: "The Encyclopedia of Technical Market Indicators", John Ehlers-MESA Software n~HilbertDC



Hilbert Adaptive Williams Variable Accumulation Distribution

//The Encyclopedia of Technical Market Indicators, Second Edition, Colby ISBN 0-07-012057-9
//MESA SOFTWARE
//@Copyright 2013 Trading System Lab(R)

inputs: Period(14), smooth(3), Intraday(false); vars:vad(0),n(0),vadold(0), hldiff(0), hdc(0), rvad(0), normal(0);

hdc = HilbertDC(close);

vadold = 0; n = 0; vad=0;

while n <= hdc begin hldiff = high[n] - low[n]; if intraday=false and hldiff<>0 then vadold = ((close[n] - open[n]) / hldiff)*volume[n]; if intraday=true and hldiff<>0 then vadold = (upticks-downticks)*((close[n] - open[n]) / hldiff)*(ticks)[n]; vad = vad + vadold; n = n + 1; end:

rvad = highest(vad, period) - lowest(vad, period); if rvad<>0 then normal = (vad-lowest(vad, period))/rvad; plot1(average(normal,smooth), "VAD"); //vad=0; plot2(.2, "Upper"); plot3(.8, "Lower");





C to Easy Language Code

- ANTLR (Another Tool for Language Recognition) is used for CEL (C to Easy Language) translation. ANTLR developed by Prof. Terrance Parr at U.S.F.
- Comparison testing accomplished:

Machine code in Discipulus

ANSI C-Code DLL in TradeStation

In-Line Assembler Code DLL in TradeStation

CEL code in TradeStation

- Multiple GP outputs CEL translation
- C# GUI created
- "Click and Paste" simplicity

TSL/RML: Translates: Machine Code->C code->EasyLanguage & others



MACHINE DESIGNED TRADING ALGORITHMS

What would you do with a Million Equity Streams?



SYSTEMATIC VERSES DISCRETIONARY CTA VAMI 1987-2013

MECHANICAL SYSTEMS

DISCRETIONARY



466 programs, \$260B

151 programs, \$24B

Notice the 2010 to 2013 Drawdown Source: BarclayHedge



CTA PERFORMANCE IS DECAYING

63.69%	1992	-0.91%	2004	3.30%
23.90%	1993	10.37%	2005	1.71%
16.68%	1994	-0.65%	2006	3.54%
23.75%	1995	13.64%	2007	7.64%
8.74%	1996	9.12%	2008	14.09%
25.50%	1997	10.89%	2009	-0.10%
3.82%	1998	7.01%	2010	7.05%
57.27%	1999	-1.19%	2011	-3.09%
21.76%	2000	7.86%	2012	-1.70%
1.80%	2001	0.84%	2013	-3.04%+
21.02%	2002	12.36%		
3.73%	2003	8.69%		
	63.69% 23.90% 16.68% 23.75% 8.74% 25.50% 3.82% 57.27% 21.76% 1.80% 21.02% 3.73%	63.69% 1992 23.90% 1993 16.68% 1994 23.75% 1995 8.74% 1996 25.50% 1997 3.82% 1998 57.27% 1999 21.76% 2000 1.80% 2001 21.02% 2002 3.73% 2003	63.69% 1992 -0.91% 23.90% 1993 10.37% 16.68% 1994 -0.65% 23.75% 1995 13.64% 8.74% 1996 9.12% 25.50% 1997 10.89% 3.82% 1998 7.01% 57.27% 1999 -1.19% 21.76% 2000 7.86% 1.80% 2001 0.84% 21.02% 2002 12.36% 3.73% 2003 8.69%	63.69% 1992 -0.91% 2004 23.90% 1993 10.37% 2005 16.68% 1994 -0.65% 2006 23.75% 1995 13.64% 2007 8.74% 1996 9.12% 2008 25.50% 1997 10.89% 2009 3.82% 1998 7.01% 2010 57.27% 1999 -1.19% 2011 21.76% 2000 7.86% 2012 1.80% 2001 0.84% 2013 21.02% 2002 12.36% 3.73%

+Estimated YTD performance for 2013 calculated with reported data as of October-7-2013 22:42 US CST







IS ALPHA GETTING MORE DIFFICULT TO GRAB?

- Getco Profits slide 81.8% in 2012
- HFT firm AienTech shuts down in September 2013
- CTA's report difficult returns
- Strategy tracking sites show performance decay

Alpha is much harder to capture than in past years. What is needed are deeper mining algorithms that design systems for us with minimal human workload.Manual design techniques have been used for 40 years and now are just too inefficient. How much longer are we going to use manual design techniques?



ARE QUANT FUNDS IN TROUBLE?

The International Association of Financial Engineers is pleased to endorse

SQA Presents: The Near-Death Experience of Quant Asset Management

October 17, 2013 5:30-7:30pm Jefferies 10th Floor Meeting Room 520 Madison Avenue (between 53rd & 54th) New York, NY 10022

AUM of "quant" equity managers remains substantially reduced from pre-crisis levels. The use of the term "quant" has been struck from the marketing materials of most asset managers and there has been a widespread loss of faith in quant investment methods. However, studies of actual performance of quant managers find the negative connotation of "quant" to be unwarranted.



AND BUY AND HOPE IS DEAD

\$INDU 1993 to 2013





SO WHY ARE WE STILL MANUALLY DESIGNING TRADING SYSTEMS?

- Software crisis-s/w costs are >10 times hardware costs
- 99% of CPU cycles are not used
- Lack of Industry Focus
- Past AI in Finance Failures
- Lack of confidence or understanding: fear?
- Note: Very few Futures Truth Trading Systems using AI

http://www.tradingsystemlab.com/files/CISC%20Architectures.pdf

WHAT IS A TRADING SYSTEM EQUITY CURVE?





WHICH SYSTEM SHOULD YOU TRADE?

- Trader 1:
 - 35% accurate
 - Average Win is 180% of Average Loss
- Trader 2: 90% accurate Average Win is 10% of Average Loss



WHAT EQUATION PRODUCES ANY TRADING SYSTEM'S EXPECTATION?

$EV = PW^*AW - PL^*AL$

EV = expected value or average trade
PW = probability of a win
PL = probability of a loss
AW = amount won in winning trades
AL = amount lost in losing trades



FOR OUR 2 TRADERS:

Trader 1: EV = -.02 Trader 2: EV = -.01

So, neither Trader has a positive expectation Note:

A system that is 90% accurate can have a negative EV

A system that is 30% accurate can have a positive EV



TOP COMPLAINTS OF TRADING STRATEGY USERS

- "I want systems but I can't write code"
- "Easy Language is not that easy"
- "I need someone to write a strategy"
- "I wish strategies were more available"
- "I can't HFT with these high commissions"



WHAT DOES TSL DO?



TSL Automatically designs Trading Algorithms and Produces Easy Language Code



HOW GOOD ARE THE TSL MACHINE DESIGNED STRATEGIES?

In 2008, and again in 2010, TSL submitted several "Machine Designed" Strategies to Futures Truth after running TSL. These strategies were initially held for over 18 months, then tested, compared and ranked against hundreds of submissions from worldwide "human" strategy designers. These systems have not been touched since and reporting on these "Machine Designed" Strategies continues.

Not just an academic exercise. These systems have to perform or traders don't make money or managers don't get paid!

The results?



THIRD PARTY FORWARD TESTS

3 Strategies released in 2007 and 3 in 2010, Designs Frozen, Post OOS, Independent Third Party Comparative Ratings published April 2013

hs
p ril 2013 January 31, 2013. ¡quired margin.
Appuel %
Return
170.5%
140.3%
92.3%
87.8%
87.6% 83.1% 70.8% 70.7% 64.8% 64.4%
44

63.8%

59.9%

53 4%

53.4%

49.0%

48.6%

#1 Bonds #9 Past 12 mo Issue #3 2013

ROI based on 3*Margin and includes Slippage and Commission Forward tested over the worst financial disaster of our lives.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

TSL-SP 1.0Z

NatGator Silver

TSL-CEL SP1

Propero ES

Impetus SP

MAR - SP Mini Sync



WHAT IS THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE FT REPORTS?

- Top performing strategies produce business for the developers
- Cannot be the result of just chance. Too many systems and trades
- Shows TSL machine can design over numerous markets
- The results quiet those that think machines can't out-design humans
- Shows TSL #1 in blind testing over the most volatile and difficult period in our history
- Asserts that properly machine designed strategies not as brittle or over-fit as originally thought



DISTRIBUTION ANALYSIS WILCOXON TEST

Mann-Whitney

t test	
2	
Table Analyzed	Paired t test data
Column A	AVGRET/SDRET
VS	VS
Column B	AVGRET/SDRET
Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test	
P value	< 0.0001
Exact or approximate P value?	Gaussian Approximation
P value summary	****
Are medians signif. different? (P < 0.05)	Yes
One- or two-tailed P value?	Two-tailed
Sum of positive, negative ranks	9.596e+007, -1.564e+008
Sum of signed ranks (W)	-6.043e+007
How effective was the pairing?	
rs (Spearman, Approximation)	0.0370
P Value (one tailed)	< 0.0001
P value summary	****
Was the pairing significantly effective?	Yes
	t test Table Analyzed Column A vs Column B Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test P value Exact or approximate P value? P value summary Are medians signif. different? (P < 0.05) One- or two-tailed P value? Sum of positive, negative ranks Sum of signed ranks (W) How effective was the pairing? rs (Spearman, Approximation) P Value summary Was the pairing significantly effective?

59,055 systems. Serial duplicates eliminated: 22,465 systems SPY Long Only. Distribution assumed Non-Gaussian. WW Daniel, <u>Applied Nonparametric Statistics</u>



RML'S DISCIPULUS OUTPERFORMED EXISTING PUBLISHED RESULTS UXO Discrimination Tests





TSL Clients and Markets

- Major Wall Street Investment bank >\$100M
- Small and mid size funds \$10M-\$100M
- Individual traders
- International Traders
- Note: TSL has not been widely distributed.





WHAT DID IT TAKE TO CREATE TSL?

- The work by two companies over many years
- Multiple U.S. patents
- Approximately one million lines of code
- World class scientists and programmers
- A lot of \$
- Many obstacles along the way





WHAT IS TSL?

- TSL is a platform for the automatic design of Trading Strategies
- Linear Automatic Induction of Machine Code with Genetic Programming (LAIMGP) (algorithms are nonlinear)
- Trading Strategies are designed for you-NO PROGRAMMING!
- Code is written for you in different languages
- Strategies are tested OOS during design
- TSL is fast, very fast-can't do this work with normal software
- Patented and Trademarked
- Single Market Systems and Daytrading
- Pairs
- Portfolios
- Options

Trading System Lab®

WHAT IS OUT OF SAMPLE (OOS) TESTING?

- Blind testing on data not used in design
- Hidden data
- Walk forward/backward data





ROBUSTNESS

(Over Fit Avoidance)

- Blind OOS Testing (walk forward)
- Run Path Logs (path intelligence)
- Unbiased Terminal Set (directionless inputs)
- Multi-Run, Randomized Criteria (global optimum)
- Zero Point Origin (no predefined initial point)
- Parsimony Pressure (Occam's razor)
- Stat Tests-Distribution is exported
- TTPR



THIS IS OUR TRADING SYSTEM WHEN WE BEGIN A RUN IN TSL (null starting point)

Random Trading Signals



THIS IS OUR TRADING SYSTEM CORE LOGIC CODE AFTER A RUN HAS COMPLETED MACHINE CODE TRANSLATED TO C, EL, JAVA, ETC.

> long double f[8]; long double tmp = 0; int cflag = 0;

f[0]=f[1]=f[2]=f[3]=f[4]=f[5]=f[6]=f[7]=0;

L0:	f[0]-=v[25];
L1:	f[0]+=v[43];
L2:	f[0]=fabs(f[0]);
L3:	f[0]-=v[13];
L4:	f[0]-=v[49];
L5:	f[0]-=v[41];
L6:	f[0]*=f[0];
L7:	f[1]-=f[0];
L8:	f[0] + = v[22];
L9:	tmp=f[1]; f[1]=f[0]; f[0]=tmp
L10:	cflag=(f[0] < f[2]);
L11:	f[0]-=v[39];

if (!_finite(f[0])) f[0]=0;

return f[0];

Easy Language or many other platforms



INDUCTION OF MACHINE CODE



Evolved Programs in AIMGP

To evaluate a evolved program: Typcast the pointer to the program as a function pointer

To transform an evolved program: Typcast the pointer as a pointer to an array of integers

Machine code transforms the state of the CPU registers

Problem: How do you perform crossover and mutation on binary machine code?



LAIMGP REPRODUCTIVE CROSSOVER

Homologous and Non-Homologous



Reference: Frank D. Francone Licensiate Thesis (2009)



BIG PICTURE QUESTION:

How many CPU cycles does it take to compute the following?

X = Y + Z



BIG PICTURE QUESTION:

How many CPU cycles does it take to compute the following?

X = Y + Z

High level languages: 20 Clock cycles CGPS(LAIMGP): 1 Clock cycle

So, TSL should be at least 20 times faster than higher level languages "on a single thread"

But What about Hyper-Threading?

Reference: Efficient Evolution of Machine Code for CISC Architectures using blocks and Homologous Crossover,

Peter Nordin, Wolfgang Banzhaf, Frank Francone



HYPERTHREADED TSL

Million System-Bars per Second (900 M Input SBS)

core i7 990x, 3.47ghz

HT verses Non HT Speed





GENETIC PROGRAMMING

- Based loosely on biological models of evolution and eucaryotic* sexual reproduction
- Simulates the path a biological species goes through as it evolves:
 - -Starts off simple
 - -Adapts to hostile environment
 - -Strong Parents give birth to strong children
 - -Random mutations may help

*Based on complex cells with membranes





TSL GP LEARNING

- Supervised Learning. No supervisory Signal.
- Population is initialized
- A Trading Strategy is initialized with random signals and a error produced
- Tournament is run within population
- <u>Mutation</u> causes random changes in winners
- <u>Crossover</u> exchanges DNA between winners
- <u>Reproduction</u> is applied on remainder
- Demes enhance genetic diversity
- Parsimony Pressure favor simpler solutions
- If n GWI occur then run restarts
- New trading algorithms emerge and improve based on the error
- Algorithms learn to trade better as they trade in simulation
- After x runs or user termination, all runs stop
- Finally, code is exported, translated and ported to a Trading OMS/EMS



TSL ACCEPTS 56 INPUTS

THEN REDUCES DOWN TO JUST A FEW

Example Boolean Indicators:

Example Boolean Patterns:

cond[45] = c>=c[1]; cond[46] = c>=c[2]; cond[47] = o>=c[1]; cond[48] = o>=c[2];

Consider: Intermarket data, Fundamental data, COT, Machine readable news, Twitter etc.



THE ARCHITECTURE

Development followed no specific model since no specific model (~extreme programming) was readily available ~1 million lines of code, 9 languages, 2 companies





FITNESS CAN BE MULTI GOAL

Machine Design Allows Us to Adjust Critical System Metrics as Targeted Fitness Function



FITNESS AS A SIMPLE EXPRESSION



sys1 after 2 generations

sys1 after 5 generations



time

time

Notice drawdown improved even though DD was not part of fitness



MARKETS HAVE DIFFERENT DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS

So Why Design Symmetrical Systems?



CME:E-MINI S&P

CBOT:WHEAT



TACTICS, FITNESS AND PREPROCESSING

- (TT) Trade Types/Trading Tactics are entry techniques. <u>Example:</u> <u>Enter on Limit</u>
- (FF) Fitness Functions are "Targets" that TSL attempts to design to. <u>Example: NetProfit/Max Drawdown</u>
- (PP) Preprocessors are Patterns, Indicators or other facts used as DNA in TSL. <u>Example: Close>Close[1]</u>
- There are 25 TT's, 40 FF's and 10 PP's allowing 10,000 possible setups to be tested.
- Testing over various bar sizes requires yet more runs
- Clearly there is a need for simplicity and runs reduction



WHAT IS EVORUN™?

- EVORUN is a multi run iterator:
- 1. Trade Type
- 2. Fitness Function
- 3. Preprocessor
- 4. Bar Size





EVORUN[™] WHAT IS YOUR OPTIMUM BAR SIZE?



For Help, press F1

Acct: SIM65050 Open Psns: 0 Purch Pwr: \$100,000 Closed P/L: \$0.00 RT TE: \$0.00 ORDER BAR OE MACROS Trading Data 🔔 3/4/2012 10:13 AM



TSL DAYTRADING SYSTEMS

Low Frequency Daytrading

- Use TSL and design on lots of data
- Blend in additional filters if necessary
- Use TSL System Stats to filter out poor:
- 1. Months
- 2. Day of week
- 3. Time of day
- 4. Day of Week in Month
- 5. Day of Month



TRADING SYSTEMS DESIGNED IN 3 EASY STEPS

- 1. Preprocess (TradeStation EL)
- 2. Evolve (TSL)
- 3. Translate (TradeStation OMS/EMS)





TEXT BOOKS

- Francone-Genetic Programming
- Brameier/Banzhaf-Linear Genetic Programming
- Poli-A Field Guide to Genetic Programming
- Chen-Genetic Algorithms and Genetic Programming in Computational Finance
- Aldridge-High Frequency Trading



CONCLUSION

MACHINE DESIGNED TRADING SYSTEMS ARE HERE!

www.tradingsystemlab.com 408-356-1800

